

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Montana

United States of America

v.

Gloria Lucero

Case No: CR 21-53-GF-BMM

USM No: 60361-509

Date of Original Judgment: 05/11/2022

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Defendant seeks a sentence reduction under the retroactive application to the criminal history rules in Parts A and B, subpart 1 of Amendment 821 to the United States Sentencing Guidelines. (See Docs. 42, 45.) Defendant is ineligible.

Part A limits the overall criminal history impact of "status points" for offenders who committed the instant offense while under a criminal justice sentence. Part B, subpart 1 provides a two-level offense level decrease for offenders who did not receive any criminal history points under Chapter Four and whose instant offense did not involve specific aggravating factors. See USSG Ret. App. A & B, Sub. 1 Amendment 821 "Reasons for Amendment" (Nov. 1, 2023).

Here, as to Part A, Defendant did not receive any Chapter Four "status points." (PSR ¶¶ 81–82.) As to Part B, Defendant's prior convictions resulted in a total criminal history score of ten. (PSR ¶ 81.) Because Defendant is clearly ineligible for a sentence reduction under the retroactive application of Amendment 821, her motions are denied.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 6/10/2022 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 1/30/2024



Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____

(if different from order date)

Brian Morris, Chief United States District Judge

Printed name and title

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.
(Not for Public Disclosure)

Gloria Lucero

DEFENDANT: _____

CASE NUMBER: CR 21-53-GF-BMM

DISTRICT: District of Montana

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (*Prior to Any Departures*)

Previous Total Offense Level: _____ Amended Total Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____ Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (*See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018)*)